Appl. No. 10/708,295 Amdt. dated June 27, 2005 Reply to Office action of May 12, 2005

# **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

#### Rejections

Claims 1 and 4-9 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maley et al. (US 5,969,542).

## **Response:**

## Claim 1

10

Claim 1 has been amended to include limitations of original claim 2. No new matter is introduced.

As the Examiner has stated in the section "Conclusion" of this Office action, claim 2
would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the
limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Since the base
claim of original claim 2 is original claim 1 and there is no intervening
claim between claim 2 and claim 1, applicant believes that amended claim
1 including all of the limitations of the original claims 1 and 2 has been
placed in condition for allowance. Claims 3-9 are applied to amended claim
1, and should be allowable if the amended claim 1 is found allowable.

## New Claims

#### 25 <u>Claims 19-23</u>

New claims 19-23 are provided without introducing any new matter. Independent

Appl. No. 10/708,295 Amdt. dated June 27, 2005 Reply to Office action of May 12, 2005

claim 19 is in condition for allowance over Maley et al. because Maley et al. at least fail to disclose the first-voltage devices and the second-voltage devices having different threshold voltages. Therefore, claim 19 and claims 20-23 applied to claim 19 should be patentable.

5

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

10

Weinton Hars

Date: June 27, 2005

Winston Hsu, Patent Agent No. 41,526

P.O. BOX 506, Merrifield, VA 22116, U.S.A.

Voice Mail: 302-729-1562 Facsimile: 806-498-6673

e-mail: winstonhsu@naipo.com

Note: Please leave a message in my voice mail if you need to talk to me. The time in D.C. is 12 hours behind the Taiwan time, i.e. 9 AM in D.C. = 9 PM in Taiwan.

20

15